|  |
| --- |
| **AGREED MINUTES ON OUTCOME OF FINAL EXTERNAL EXAMINATION****Czech-Norwegian Research Programme CZ09****Norway Grants 2009 – 2014** |

|  |
| --- |
| **A. PROJECT INFORMATION** |
| **Project ID** | 7F14xxx |
| **Implementation period**  | **from** | dd. mm. yyyy | **to** | dd. mm. yyyy |
| **Project title in English** |  |
| **Principal investigator** |  |
| **Grant in CZK** | 00 000 000 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Full legal name of entity** | **Type of entity\*** |
| **Project promoter** |  |  |
| **Project partner** |  |  |
| **Project partner** |  |  |
| **Project partner** |  |  |
| **Project partner** |  |  |

*\*Choose one of these: research organization/or small enterprise/or medium enterprise.*

|  |
| --- |
| **B. PEER REVIEW BOARD’S EVALUATION (to be filled out by the peer review board)** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1.** | **Were the work packages/tasks** **completed in accordance with the schedule stipulated in the project contract?**  | [ ]  YES | [ ]  NO |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **2.** | **Were the planned deliverables/milestones/outcomes stipulated in the project contract achieved?** | [ ]  YES | [ ]  NO |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **3.**  | **Were the project objectives achieved?** | [ ]  YES | [ ]  NO |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **4.**  | **Was dissemination of research outcomes optimal concerning the effective promotion of the project?** | [ ]  YES | [ ]  NO |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **5.**  | **Did the Project promoter apply the substantive recommendations and/or measures from the performed on-the-spot audit(s) during the project implementation?[[1]](#footnote-1)** | [ ]  YES | [ ]  NO |
|  [ ]  NOT APPLICABLE |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **6.** | ***Importance and sustainability of the achieved project outcomes:**** *Scientific excellence*
* *Economic and/or societal usability of the project outcomes and their sustainability*
 |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |

|  |
| --- |
| **C. PEER REVIEW BOARD’S OVERALL CONCLUSION (Please choose one option of i/ii/iii overall conclusions only)** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **i. THE PROJECT CONTRACT MAY BE DEEMED AS EXECUTED***If YES, please comment and explain.*  | [ ]  YES |
| *Please comment (min. 200 characters):*  |
| **ii. THE PROJECT CONTRACT MAY BE DEEMED AS EXECUTED PARTIALLY***If YES, in this case please state what part of the project contract were not performed such as a number of a work package, a milestone, an outcome etc. or specify the percentage of non-performance of the project. Please state particular reasons for incompleteness of the project.* | [ ]  YES |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **iii. THE PROJECT CONTRACT MAY BE DEEMED AS NON-EXECUTED***If YES, in this case please state particular reasons for incompleteness of the project.* | [ ]  YES |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **SCIENTIFIC LEVEL OF THE PUBLICATIONS ORIGINATED FROM THE PROJECT (Please mark rating from 5 to 1)**[ ]  **5 Outstanding** [ ]  **4 Very good** [ ]  **3 Good** [ ]  **2 Satisfactory** [ ]  **1 Unsatisfactory** |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **OVERALL PROJECT RATING (Please mark rating from 5 to 1)**[ ]  **5 Outstanding** [ ]  **4 Very good** [ ]  **3 Good** [ ]  **2 Satisfactory** [ ]  **1 Unsatisfactory** |
| *Please comment (min. 300 characters):*  |
| **Chairperson and members of the peer review board:** |
| **Place:****Date:**  |
| **Full name** | **Employer** | **Signature** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Signature of the Principal investigator:**

**Date:**

**Place:**

1. Please fill out in case any substantive recommendations/measures were made after the on-the-spot audit was performed. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)